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Proposed Performance Standards for the Plank for Inclusion Consideration Into the Navy’s Physical Readiness Test

D. D. Peterson, MSC
Aviation Survival Training Center, Naval Air Station, Patuxent River, MD

ABSTRACT
Since 1982, the U.S. Navy has used the curl-up as part of its semiannual physical readiness test (PRT) to assess core muscular endurance. Although no formal study has been conducted yet, there is speculation that the incorporation of the curl-up into the PRT has contributed to the Navy’s lower back injury rate. Therefore, there has been considerable interest as of late to identify a safer (and more operationally relevant) alternative to the curl-up. The following article takes a formal look at the standard front plank as a possible replacement for the curl-up for incorporation into the Navy’s PRT.

INTRODUCTION
Although the Navy currently uses the curl-up to assess core muscular endurance, the test lacks operational relevance and can cause and/or promote low back injuries instead of preventing them (8). Therefore, the standard plank is recommended as a potential replacement for the curl-up as part of the Navy’s semiannual Physical Readiness Test (PRT). The benefits of replacing the curl-up with the plank include improved operational relevance, reduction/prevention of back injuries, and a universal performance standard for both genders and all age groups.

As with many field tests currently in practice, there are certain constraints and/or limitations associated with the curl-up. For example, the curl-up places high compressive loads on the low back that can potentially cause or aggravate low back injuries (7). Additionally, the curl-up test has low operational relevance because sailors never perform repetitive torso flexion as a specific job task.

This article will identify more credible means or methods of assessing core muscular endurance for use in the semiannual Navy’s PRT.

CURRENT PHYSICAL READINESS TEST
The U.S. Navy currently uses a 2-minute curl-up, 2-minute push-up, and 1.5-mile run, 450-m/500-yd swim, 12-minute elliptical, or 12-minute stationary bike test to assess the physical readiness of sailors as part of its semiannual PRT. The curl-up and push-up tests are used to assess core and upper-body muscular endurance, respectively, whereas the run, swim, elliptical, and bike tests are used to assess aerobic capacity. The current PRT does not incorporate a muscular strength test.

All events use age and gender categorized percentiles developed by the Naval Health Research Center from 1997 and 1998 PRT data to score performance. Standards for each performance category are as follows:
Outstanding: Performance above or equal to top 10 percentile.
Excellent: Performance above or equal to top 25 percentile.
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tolerance which, when exceeded, will hasten the onset of intervertebral disk degeneration. Each individual has a loading capacity (3). “Each individual has a loading limit by which they will ensure that the lumbar spine to high compression loads thus increasing the potential for injury; and (f) Wait a minimum of 2 hours after waking to perform spinal flexion exercises—to account for swelling of the disks from osmotic superhydration that occurs with bed rest (3,6).

Ironically, many of the recommendations of Contreras et al. (3) add legitimacy to the claim that the curl-up may not be well suited for the PRT. For example, Contreras et al. (3) recommend that the number of spinal flexions performed be limited to 60 repetitions or less per session. However, the majority of age and gender categories used to grade curl-up performance for the PRT require the member to perform more than 60 repetitions to score “Excellent” or better. Additionally, Contreras et al. (3) recommend that spinal flexion exercises should be used for improving abdominal muscular strength and/or hypertrophy. The rationale for incorporating the curl-up into the PRT was to assess core muscular endurance—not strength or hypertrophy. Additional research also reported that trunk flexion exercises without additional resistance provide minimal stress to the abdominal musculature (1,4,5). Finally, Contreras et al. (3) recommend that static, neutral posture exercises should be used to assess core muscular endurance. Additional research also supports that isometric trunk stabilization exercises have several favorable characteristics.

Although not a proponent of excluding spinal flexion exercises altogether, Contreras et al. (3) make the following recommendations before incorporating said exercises into a core conditioning program: (a) Do not exceed 60 repetitions of spinal flexion per training session; (b) Allow a minimum of 48 up to 72 hours of rest between training sessions to allow for adequate recovery and tissue repair; (c) Use spinal flexion exercises when the training goal is improved core muscular strength and/or hypertrophy (with the addition of external resistance as required to elicit the overload response) not muscular endurance; (d) Use static, neutral posture exercises held for extended periods if the training goal is core muscular endurance; (e) Spinal flexion exercises should be performed at a tempo of approximately 2 seconds per repetition (faster repetition tempos could subject the lumbar spine to high compression loads thus increasing the potential for injury); and (f) Wait a minimum of 2 hours after waking to perform spinal flexion exercises—to account for swelling of the disks from osmotic superhydration that occurs with bed rest (3,6).

Ironically, many of the recommendations of Contreras et al. (3) add legitimacy to the claim that the curl-up may not be well suited for the PRT. For example, Contreras et al. (3) recommend that the number of spinal flexions performed be limited to 60 repetitions or less per session. However, the majority of age and gender categories used to grade curl-up performance for the PRT require the member to perform more than 60 repetitions to score “Excellent” or better. Additionally, Contreras et al. (3) recommend that spinal flexion exercises should be used for improving abdominal muscular strength and/or hypertrophy. The rationale for incorporating the curl-up into the PRT was to assess core muscular endurance—not strength or hypertrophy. Additional research also reported that trunk flexion exercises without additional resistance provide minimal stress to the abdominal musculature (1,4,5). Finally, Contreras et al. (3) recommend that static, neutral posture exercises should be used to assess core muscular endurance. Additional research also supports that isometric trunk stabilization exercises have several favorable characteristics.

Although not a proponent of excluding spinal flexion exercises altogether, Contreras et al. (3) make the following recommendations before incorporating said exercises into a core conditioning program: (a) Do not exceed 60 repetitions of spinal flexion per training session; (b) Allow a minimum of 48 up to 72 hours of rest between training sessions to allow for adequate recovery and tissue repair; (c) Use spinal flexion exercises when the training goal is improved core muscular strength and/or hypertrophy (with the addition of external resistance as required to elicit the overload response) not muscular endurance; (d) Use static, neutral posture exercises held for extended periods if the training goal is core muscular endurance; (e) Spinal flexion exercises should be performed at a tempo of approximately 2 seconds per repetition (faster repetition tempos could subject the lumbar spine to high compression loads thus increasing the potential for injury); and (f) Wait a minimum of 2 hours after waking to perform spinal flexion exercises—to account for swelling of the disks from osmotic superhydration that occurs with bed rest (3,6).

Ironically, many of the recommendations of Contreras et al. (3) add legitimacy to the claim that the curl-up may not be well suited for the PRT. For example, Contreras et al. (3) recommend that the number of spinal flexions performed be limited to 60 repetitions or less per session. However, the majority of age and gender categories used to grade curl-up performance for the PRT require the member to perform more than 60 repetitions to score “Excellent” or better. Additionally, Contreras et al. (3) recommend that spinal flexion exercises should be used for improving abdominal muscular strength and/or hypertrophy. The rationale for incorporating the curl-up into the PRT was to assess core muscular endurance—not strength or hypertrophy. Additional research also reported that trunk flexion exercises without additional resistance provide minimal stress to the abdominal musculature (1,4,5). Finally, Contreras et al. (3) recommend that static, neutral posture exercises should be used to assess core muscular endurance. Additional research also supports that isometric trunk stabilization exercises have several favorable characteristics.
For example, they are easy to perform, require little to no equipment to execute, and rarely result in postexercise muscle soreness (1).

Current research from Childs et al. (2) also supports this recommendation. Childs et al. (2) conducted a study evaluating musculoskeletal injury rates of soldiers enrolled in the U.S. Army Combat Medic course by comparing 2 different core muscular endurance training protocols. They compared one that incorporated traditional sit-up training without use of core stabilization exercises (including the plank). Of the 1,141 soldiers who participated, 511 (or 44.8%) experienced musculoskeletal injuries during training. Although there was no statistical difference in the percentage of soldiers experiencing musculoskeletal injuries between groups, there was a statistical difference in the number of work restriction days between groups for soldiers reporting a low back injury. Specifically, the average number of days of work restriction for soldiers incorporating traditional sit-up training was 8.3 days (SD = 14.5), whereas 4.2 days (SD = 8.0) for soldiers incorporating core stabilization exercises. Childs et al. (2) argue that one possible explanation for this finding is that core stabilization exercises provide a certain level of protection against the onset of low back injuries (2).

Based on these and other such findings/recommendations, the Navy has long considered replacing the curl-up with a safer and more operationally relevant exercise. In fact, in 2006, the Deputy Chief of Naval Operations directed a comprehensive assessment of the Navy’s Physical Readiness Program (PRP). One of the recommendations from the assessment was to determine the feasibility of replacing the curl-up with either the bridge or the plank exercise.

**PLANK RESEARCH**

Although more research is needed to establish industry standards for the plank, a 2010 study conducted by McGill et al. provides the first known data set for plank scores categorized by age and gender. In the study, McGill et al. calculated average plank times for Canadian firefighters and college students. Plank data for the firefighters were collected and compared over a 3-year period (i.e., 2006–2008). In 2006 (n = 401), the average plank time was 118 (1:58) seconds. In 2007 (n = 287) and 2008 (n = 390), the average plank times were 129 (2:09) and 153 (2:33) seconds, respectively. A comprehensive listing of the average plank times for Canadian firefighters broken down by age is provided in Table 1.

Of the 181 university students who participated (99 women and 82 men), the average plank time was 183 (3:03) seconds for men and 106 (1:46) seconds for women. In addition, the data were broken down further into participants with no back problems, history of disabling back problems, and history of disabling shoulder problems. Interestingly, there was no statistical difference in plank times based on a history of back or shoulder problems (9). This is an important finding because numerous sailors currently cannot perform push-ups because of shoulder constraints but are able to perform the curl-up.

**PHYSICAL READINESS TEST BETA TEST**

Additionally, in 2011, the Navy’s PRP office conducted a PRT beta test that evaluated 9 different modalities for possible inclusion into the Navy’s PRT (11). The purpose of the beta test was to evaluate the validity, objectivity, reliability, operational relevance, and possible redundancy of different field tests currently used and recommended by the industry. One of the exercises evaluated was the single-leg plank. Of all the core muscular endurance tests available, the plank test was chosen based on its lower risk of injury and higher operational relevance.

This single-leg plank variant was selected over the standard front plank because it added a much needed balance component to the PRT. In addition, the single-leg variant would be more difficult to execute for long durations, thus reducing the time required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average plank time for Canadian firefighters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2006 data</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (y)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females (n = 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2007 data</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females (n = 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2008 data</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females (n = 10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data taken from McGill et al. (10).
to facilitate the test. Originally, the intent was to evaluate the single-leg plank with the dominant leg (which was determined by asking the test subject which leg they would use to kick a ball) on the ground with the non-dominant leg extended and held parallel to the ground. However, this version primarily stressed the anterior muscles of the dominant leg (i.e., quadriceps femoris, sartorius, and iliopsoas complex) and not the core musculature (i.e., rectus abdominis, obliques, erector spinae, transverse abdominis, and quadrates lumbarum). Hall et al. (4) reported that exercises that specifically target and develop the iliopsoas complex tend to cause lumbar lordosis (anterior curvature of the lower portion of the spinal column), which can lead to moderate to severe low back pain. Therefore, a modified version of the single-leg plank was used and evaluated. In this variant, the subject rested their nondominant ankle on top of their dominant ankle (Figure 1).

The subjects were instructed to maintain a straight line through the shoulders, hips, knees, and dominant-leg ankle. Only the outer palms, forearms, and ball of the foot of the dominant leg were allowed to be in contact with the ground. The test was terminated if the subject contacted the ground with any body part other than the palms, forearms, and ball of the foot of the dominant-leg foot or failed to maintain a straight line through the shoulders, hip, knees, and dominant-leg ankle after one verbal warning. Of the 128 total PRT beta test participants, only 163 (125 men and 38 women) completed both test sessions involving the plank. All participants were active duty military (primarily U.S. Navy) stationed aboard Naval Support Activity Mid-South in Millington, TN. The official testing protocol consisted of three 1-hour sessions conducted in duplicate for a total of 6 training sessions (i.e., session 4 was a duplicate of session 1, session 5 was a duplicate of session 2, and session 6 was a duplicate of session 3). Only 3 of the 9 modalities were tested during each test session. Because of the limited number of test staff and work commitments of the subjects, only 2 sessions were conducted each week (either Monday/Thursday or Tuesday/Friday). This equated to 10 days being afforded between like testing sessions.

As with any program designed to improve physical fitness and encourage regular exercise participation, proposed standards should be set at a level that requires frequent conditioning to perform well but not too high to be unobtainable. Through personal interaction and an online postparticipation survey, it was determined that most PRT beta test subjects had never performed a max effort time plank before the beta test. This may explain the relatively poor reliability associated with the plank (percent coefficient of variation = 21.3). Despite its poor reliability, it could be speculated that with additional training and/or addition of a third testing session, timed plank scores would improve and be more consistent over time. Using the data collected from the PRT beta test and percentile data from the 2010 McGill study, proposed percentiles for the plank were developed and are provided in Table 2. Although the single-leg variant was evaluated during the PRT beta test, the standard front plank is being proposed for implementation. This decision was based on the fact that the standard plank is more commonly used and promoted by the industry and possesses easier testing criteria than the single-leg variant.

Because the curl-up test currently has more standards for both genders within the same age category, one standard was developed for both genders for the plank. In addition to gender, the same standard is also being proposed for all age categories. Although current curl-up standards decrease with subsequent age groups, this trend is not supported by the current research evaluating the plank—to include the PRT beta test. In fact, the current world record for the plank is 1:20:05.01 set by a 54-year-old former Marine and Drug Enforcement Administration officer (http://www.thepostgame.com/blog/healthy-living/201112/jeorge-hood-planking-world-record, December 09, 2011). The previous world record was set by a 71-year-old woman with a time of 36:58 (retrieved from http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/71-year-old-anytime-fitness-member-breaks-the-guinness-world-record-for-holding-plank-position-136740498.html, October 14, 2011). With this in mind, a minimum score of 2:00 and maximum score of 4:00 are being proposed for the plank—which, based on available data, does not seem to be unachievable for most sailors.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Since 1982, the Navy has been using the curl-up as a means of assessing core muscular endurance as part of its semiannual PRT. However, current research has shown that repetitive spinal flexion exercises, to include curl-ups, are better suited for muscular strength or size and not recommended for improving core endurance. Additionally, said exercises can actually lead to or cause low back injuries for some individuals. Instead, many researchers are now recommending replacing repetitive spinal flexion exercises

---

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>17 to 65+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90%</td>
<td>4:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75%</td>
<td>3:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25%</td>
<td>2:30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with isometric trunk stabilization exercises for the purpose of core endurance testing. One example of a common isometric trunk stabilization exercise is the standard plank. The plank is an easy test to administer, operationally applicable, and may actually reduce the likelihood for and occurrences of low back injuries if trained regularly. The plank is a more credible means of assessing core muscular endurance for use in the semiannual Navy’s PRT and should be seriously considered as a viable alternative to the curl-up.
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